

## Original Research Article

# Determination of Nitrogen Split Doses on Quality Features and Nitrogen Conservation of Potato

Priyanka\*, Manoj Raghav, Rajendra Bhatt, Atri Tapaswini Mohanty,  
Barkha Pandey and Renu Nitwal

Department of Vegetable Science, College of Agriculture, GBPUA&T, Pantnagar-263145, U. S. Nagar, Uttarakhand, India

\*Corresponding author

## ABSTRACT

### Keywords

Nitrogen, Dry matter, Protein, Nitrogen uptake, Split application, Nitrogen use efficiency and Nitrogen apparent recovery

The present field research was carried out during *rabi* season of the year 2018-2019 at Vegetable Research Center (VRC) of the G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, Udham Singh Nagar (Uttarakhand). The highest dry matter content (19.85%), protein content (7.62 %) and nitrogen content (1.22%) in tuber was recorded with the treatment T<sub>6</sub> whereas, maximum specific gravity (1.03 g cc<sup>-1</sup>), nitrogen content in haulm and whole plant (2.74 % and 3.95 %) and nitrogen uptake by haulm and whole plant (64.73 kg/ha and 155.58 kg/ha) was recorded with the treatment T<sub>5</sub>. The effect of split application of nitrogen-on-nitrogen uptake by haulm was found non-significant. Split nitrogen application treatments have shown a positive impact on nitrogen use efficiency and nitrogen apparent recovery. Highest nitrogen use efficiency (170.76%) and NAR (59.20%) of potato plants was found with the treatment T<sub>2</sub>.

## Introduction

It plays an important role in developing countries like India with its ability to provide highly nutritious food and sustain the poor and hungry. It is important crop for the high population areas of Asia because it produces more dry matter, well balanced protein and more calories per unit area of land and time than other major food crop. The demand for potato is ever increasing both for table purpose and processing industry. The problem of under nutrition can be largely solved if potato is accepted as a major food crop and not merely as a vegetable. India ranks 2<sup>nd</sup> next to China in production in the world. In India, potato is cultivated on an area of 2.14 million hectare having

production of 51.3 mt whereas, in Uttarakhand, Potato shares an area 26.31 Th. Hectare with 362.16 Th. Metric tonnes production (India, 2018).

## Materials and Methods

An experiment entitled “Effect of split application of nitrogen on growth, yield and quality of Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.)” was conducted during *rabi* season 2018-19. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design consisting of seven treatments replicated thrice *viz.*, T<sub>1</sub> [RDF (50% basal N+ 50% top dressing at 25 DAP)], T<sub>2</sub> (50% basal N + one foliar spray@ 2% urea at 25 DAP), T<sub>3</sub> (50% basal N + two foliar spray @ 2% urea at 25 & 40 DAP), T<sub>4</sub> (50% basal N +

three foliar spray @ 2% urea at 25, 40 & 55 DAP), T<sub>5</sub> (50% basal N + 25% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray @ 2% urea at 40 DAP), T<sub>6</sub> (50% basal N + 25% top dressing at 25 DAP + two foliar spray @ 2% urea at 40 & 55 DAP), T<sub>7</sub> [(No application of N (control)]. each treatment was allocated randomly in each plot of block during experimentation. The basal application of 80 kg N (half), 80 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (full) and 120 kg K<sub>2</sub>O (full) per hectare in the form of Urea, SSP and MOP, respectively were applied in the experimental field.

The remaining amount of nitrogen was top dressed at the time of earthing-up i.e., 25 days after planting (DAP) and foliar sprays of 2 % urea at 25, 40 and 55 DAP as per treatment was applied to each plot through Knap sack sprayer. Well sprouted, disease free, medium sized (2.5-5.0 cm diameter) tubers of Kufri Khyati variety having 40-50 g weight were selected for planting.

The seed tubers were treated with boric acid (3%) for 15 minutes before chitting. The treated tubers were spread in shady airy place for chitting. The chitted tubers were planted at 60cm × 20cm spacing. All the cultural practices were carried out under scientific management.

Among quality parameters dry matter content, protein content and specific gravity of tubers was calculated. In chemical analysis soil was analyzed for available nitrogen in soil before planting and after harvesting whereas, haulm, tuber and whole plant was analyzed for nitrogen content and nitrogen uptake.

At last nitrogen use efficiency and nitrogen apparent recovery of plants was also calculated. The formulae used in the calculations are as follows:

## Quality parameter

### Dry matter content of potato tubers

The dry matter content of tuber was determined by oven drying method. 100 g fresh tuber weight from each treatment was taken and dried in oven at 80°C till constant weight than dry weight of tuber was measured in per cent and calculated by using following formula:

Dry matter content (%) =

$$\frac{\text{Oven dried weight of tuber (g)}}{\text{Fresh weight of tuber (g)}} \times 100$$

### Specific gravity

A representative sample of tubers was taken from each plot after harvesting. The volume of tubers was determined by water displacement method. The specific gravity was determined by following formula:

Specific gravity of tuber (g/g) =

$$\frac{\text{Weight of tuber (g)}}{\text{Weight of same given volume of tuber (g)}}$$

### Protein content

Micro-kjeldhal method was used to estimate protein content (Ranganna, 1986). Nitrogen content in the sample was computed by using following formula:

Nitrogen (%) =

$$\frac{\text{Sample titre} - \text{Blank titre}}{\text{Weight of sample (g)} \times 1000} \times 100 \times N \times 14$$

Protein content was calculated by following conversion formula:

$$\text{Protein (\%)} = 6.25 \times \text{Nitrogen (\%)}$$

## Chemical analysis in soil

### Available nitrogen in soil

Available nitrogen in soil was estimated by alkaline  $\text{KMnO}_4$  method (Subbiah and Asija, 1956).

### Calculation

Mineralizable nitrogen (kg/ha) =  $R \times 31.36$   
Where, R is volume of 0.02 N  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  in ml required for titration.

$$\text{Available N (kg/ha)} = \frac{(S - B) \times N \text{ H}_2\text{SO}_4 \times 0.014 \times 100 \times 10^4 \times 2.24}{\text{Weight of soil sample}}$$

Sample Where, S = burette reading of treated sample, B = reading of blank sample

## Chemical analysis in plant

### Nitrogen content in potato plant and tuber

Nitrogen content in plant and tuber is commonly determined by Kjeldahl's method (Singh *et al.*, 2007).

### Observation and calculation

Weight of sample	=	0.5g
Normality of $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ (N/200)	=	0.005
Volume of digestion	=	100 ml
Volume of aliquot taken	=	5 ml
Titration value (TV) titration (ml) - blank titration (ml)	=	Sample

$$\text{N \% in plant} = \frac{\text{TV} \times 0.00007 \times 100 \times 100}{(0.5 \times 5)}$$

$$= 0.28 \times \text{TV}$$

(Since, 1 ml 0.01 N  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  = 0.00014 g N; 1 ml 0.005 N  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  = 0.00007 g N).

## Nitrogen uptake by potato plant and tuber

Nitrogen uptake by can be calculated by the formula:

Nitrogen uptake (kg/ha) =

$$\frac{\text{Nitrogen content (\%)}}{100} \times \text{Dry weight (kg/ha)}$$

Total nitrogen uptake (kg/ha) = N uptake by haulms + N uptake by tubers.

### Nitrogen use efficiency

Nitrogen use efficiency (NUE, Kg of tuber produced per kg of nitrogen applied) for each treatment was determined by subtracting the control ( $N_C$ ) yield from the yield obtained at a particular N level ( $Y_N$ ) and then dividing the outcome value by the quantity of the N fertilizer applied at that level :

$$\text{Nitrogen use efficiency \%} = \frac{Y_N - Y_C}{N_R} \times 100$$

Where,

$Y_N$  is yield at the particular N level,  $Y_C$  is yield at  $N_C$  level (control) and  $N_R$  is the particular N rate.

### Nitrogen apparent recovery

Nitrogen apparent recovery for each treatment was determined by subtracting the nitrogen uptake by plant at control ( $NU_C$ ) from the N uptake by plant at a particular N level ( $NU_N$ ) and then dividing the outcome value by the quantity of the N fertilizer applied at that level :

Nitrogen apparent recovery (%) =

$$\frac{NU_N - NU_C}{N_R} \times 100$$

Where,  
 $NU_N$  is N uptake by plant at the particular N level,  $NU_c$  is N uptake by plant at  $N_c$  level (control) and  $N_R$  is the particular N rate.

## Results and Discussions

### Quality characters

#### Dry matter content of tubers

The maximum dry matter content (19.85 %) of tubers was observed in treatment  $T_6$  which was statistically at par with all the treatments except  $T_1$  and  $T_7$  whereas, the minimum dry matter content (17.91 %) was found in tubers was recorded in treatment  $T_7$ . Sun *et al.*, (2012) concluded that the higher tuber dry matter accumulation was associated with a high transportation efficiency of assimilates from vine to tubers after tuberization. Rizk *et al.*, (2013) reported that the foliar application of urea at higher level *i.e.*, 3% resulted in better dry matter content of tubers. These results are harmonious with the results obtained by Pandey *et al.*, (2017) and Sriom *et al.*, (2020).

#### Protein content of tubers

The higher amount (7.62 %) of protein in tubers was recorded in  $T_6$  which is statistically at par with all the treatments except  $T_7$  whereas, lowest amount (6.84%) of protein in tubers obtained with treatments  $T_7$ . Chandra *et al.*, (2017) also reported that the effect on amount of protein could be related to the vital role of nitrogen in plants which is directly and indirectly associated with protein synthesis.

#### Specific gravity of tubers

The maximum value (1.03 g/g) for specific gravity was recorded with treatment  $T_5$  whereas, the lowest value (1.00 g/g) was

observed in treatment  $T_2$ . Sriom *et al.*, (2020) reported that decrease in specific gravity was due to increase in water content of the tuber, which was influenced by nitrogen levels because high levels of nitrogen leads to more moisture uptake, which ultimately increases the water content of tubers. Our results are also harmonious with the results obtained by Chandra *et al.*, (2017), Kumar *et al.*, (2017) and Pandey *et al.*, (2017).

### Chemical analysis

#### Soil analytical parameters

##### Available nitrogen content in soil before planting and after harvesting of tubers

The range of available nitrogen recorded in soil before planting was 139.38 kg/ha to 147.74 kg/ha. The highest nitrogen content (135.20 kg/ha) in soil after harvesting of tubers was recorded with treatment  $T_6$  which was statistically at par with treatments  $T_2$ . While the minimum amount (105.93 kg/ha) of available nitrogen in soil was recorded under the treatment  $T_4$  in treatment  $T_7$  after harvesting of potato tubers. Das *et al.*, (2015) reported that net gain of soil nitrogen gradually decreased with increased level of nitrogen application, due to increased N-uptake by the plants. Similar finding was reported by Kumar *et al.*, (2017) and Pandey *et al.*, (2017).

#### Plant analytical parameters

##### Nitrogen content in haulm, tuber and plant

Highest nitrogen content (2.74 and 3.95 %) in haulm and whole plant, respectively was recorded with treatment  $T_5$  and the highest nitrogen content (1.22%) in tuber recorded in  $T_6$  whereas, the lowest content of nitrogen (1.96, 1.10 and 3.06 %) in haulm, tuber and whole plant, respectively was recorded in

treatment T<sub>7</sub>. Qadri *et al.*, (2015) reported that higher nitrogen content was observed where nitrogen is applied as basal dose + five foliar spray of urea at 30 DAP and concluded that foliar spray of nitrogen fertilizer increases leaf nitrogen content which strengthen source-sink relationship. Similar observation was observed by Bhatt *et al.*, (2020).

**Nitrogen uptake by haulm, tuber and plant**

The nitrogen uptake by haulms was recorded maximum (64.73kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in treatment T<sub>5</sub>

whereas, the minimum value (39.85 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of nitrogen uptake by haulms was recorded in treatment T<sub>7</sub>. The nitrogen uptake by tuber was observed maximum (91.86 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in treatment T<sub>4</sub> which was statistically at par with all the treatments except T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>7</sub> whereas, the minimum value (42.47 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of nitrogen uptake by tubers was recorded in treatment T<sub>7</sub>. Finally the nitrogen uptake by whole plant was recorded maximum (155.58 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in treatment T<sub>5</sub> which was statistically at par with all the treatments except T<sub>7</sub> with minimum value (82.33 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 1–4).

**Table.1** Effect of split application of nitrogen on dry matter content, protein content and specific gravity of potato tubers

Treatment	Dry matter content (%)	Protein content (%)	Specific gravity (g/g)
T <sub>1</sub>	18.49	7.56	1.01
T <sub>2</sub>	19.34	7.54	1.00
T <sub>3</sub>	19.46	7.41	1.01
T <sub>4</sub>	19.81	7.47	1.01
T <sub>5</sub>	19.72	7.56	1.03
T <sub>6</sub>	19.85	7.62	1.01
T <sub>7</sub>	17.91	6.84	1.02
S.Em.±	0.25	0.13	0.01
C.D. at 5%	0.80	0.41	NA

**Table.2** Effect of split application of nitrogen on available nitrogen content in soil before planting and after harvesting

Treatment	Available N content of soil (kg/ha)	
	Before planting	After harvesting
T <sub>1</sub>	143.56	132.41
T <sub>2</sub>	146.35	133.80
T <sub>3</sub>	147.74	129.62
T <sub>4</sub>	139.38	125.44
T <sub>5</sub>	140.77	133.80
T <sub>6</sub>	144.95	135.20
T <sub>7</sub>	146.35	105.93
S.Em.±	1.80	3.06
C.D. at 5 %	NA	9.55

**Table.3** Effect of split nitrogen application treatments on nitrogen content and nitrogen uptake by haulm, tuber and plant

Treatment	N content (%)			N uptake (kg/ha)		
	Haulms	Tubers	Plants	Haulms	Tubers	Plants
T <sub>1</sub>	2.70	1.21	3.91	56.97	78.94	135.91
T <sub>2</sub>	2.49	1.20	3.70	56.73	83.63	140.36
T <sub>3</sub>	2.58	1.19	3.77	59.66	88.37	148.03
T <sub>4</sub>	2.65	1.19	3.85	62.78	91.86	154.64
T <sub>5</sub>	2.74	1.21	3.95	64.73	90.85	155.58
T <sub>6</sub>	2.55	1.22	3.77	61.39	84.19	145.58
T <sub>7</sub>	1.96	1.10	3.05	39.85	42.47	82.33
S.Em.±	0.09	0.02	0.09	6.32	3.76	6.75
C.D. at 5 %	0.29	0.06	0.29	NA	11.72	21.04

**Table.4** Effect of split nitrogen application treatments on nitrogen use efficiency and nitrogen apparent recovery

Treatment	Nitrogen use efficiency (%)		Nitrogen apparent recovery (%)	
T <sub>1</sub>	98.52		33.49	
T <sub>2</sub>	170.76		59.20	
T <sub>3</sub>	157.16		56.61	
T <sub>4</sub>	138.81		53.93	
T <sub>5</sub>	131.20		53.07	
T <sub>6</sub>	121.51		40.53	
T <sub>7</sub>	-		-	
S.Em.±	7.05		5.30	
C.D. at 5 %	21.98		16.45	

Qadri *et al.*, (2015) who reported that application of nitrogen as foliar spray gave the best results in plant which might be due to better source to sink relationship. Similar findings were also reported by Chandra *et al.*, (2015), Pandey *et al.*, (2017) and Bhatt *et al.*, (2020).

### Nitrogen use efficiency

The maximum (170.76) nitrogen use efficiency observed with treatment T<sub>2</sub> which was statistically at par with treatment T<sub>3</sub>.

Jaamati *et al.*, (2010) emphasized the importance of splitting N applications. They reported that by dividing total nitrogen into two or more applications, nitrogen use efficiency was enhanced promoting optimum yield which helps to mitigate the loss of nutrients. Peter *et al.*, (2015) also concluded that split nitrogen application provides opportunities to enhance nitrogen use efficiency and minimize leaching by preventing excess availability. Similar finding was also reported by Kumar *et al.*, (2017) and Pandey *et al.*, (2017).

## Nitrogen apparent recovery

The maximum nitrogen apparent recovery (59.20 %) was recorded with treatment T<sub>2</sub> which was statistically at par with all the treatments except T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>6</sub>. Qadri *et al.*, (2015) reported from his study that foliar application of fertilizers not only improves plant yield and quality but also nutrient efficiency than that of soil applied fertilizers. These results are in harmonious with the findings of Pandey *et al.*, (2017).

On the basis of present study, it can be concluded that split nitrogen applied treatments produced high protein, dry matter, specific gravity, nitrogen content, nitrogen uptake, nitrogen use efficiency and nitrogen apparent recovery as compared to the recommended practice. Thus, greater quality tubers can be achieved with the application of lesser amount of nitrogen through split nitrogen application.

## References

- Bhatt, R., Raghav, M., Bisht, Y. S. and Kumar, M. 2020. Assessment of harvest index and nutrient-conservation in potato via foliar nutrition. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. 8(5): 1158-1162.
- Chandra, G., Raghav, M. and Kumar, U. 2015. Performance of potato varieties with varying nitrogen levels for yield and yield contributing traits of tuber. *Annals of plant and soil research*. 17: 15-18.
- Chandra, G., Raghav, M., Kumar U. and Kumar P. 2017. Effect of Nitrogen Levels on yield, nitrogen uptake and efficiency of potato varieties under Tarai region of Uttarakhand. *The Bioscan*. 12(1):515-519.
- Das, S.K., Banerjee, H., Chakraborty, A. and Sarkar, A. 2015. Production potential of newly released potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) cultivars under different nitrogen levels. *SAARC J. Agri*. 13(2):121-130.
- India. Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers' Welfare. Department of Agriculture Cooperation & Framers Welfare. Horticulture Statistics Division. 2018. Horticultural Statistics at a glance 2018. New Delhi. Controller of Publication. p199.
- Jamaati, S., Samarin, E. O. and Hashemimajd, K. 2010. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer and plant density on NPK uptake by potato tuber. *Indian J. Horti*. 67: 12-14.
- Kumar, U., Chandra, G. and Raghav, M., 2017. Split application of nitrogen in potato for maximum tuber yield and quality. *Bull. Evn. Pharmacol. Life Sci*. 6(1): 296-301.
- Pandey, P. 2017. Effect of nitrogen scheduling on growth, yield and quality of potato. Thesis, Ph.D. G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar. 109p.
- Peter, M., Katsaruware, R. D. and Ezekia, S. 2015. Effect of split nitrogen application and fertilizer rate on yield of Irish potatoes (*Solanum tuberosum*) in a small holder farming sector of Zimbabwe. *Inter. J. Innovative Sci. Engin. & Tech*. 2(1): 112-123.
- Qadri, R. W. K., Khan, I., Jahangir, M. M., Ashraf, U., Samin, G., Anwer, A., and Bashir, M. 2015. Phosphorous and foliar applied nitrogen improved productivity and quality of potato. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*. 6(01): 144.
- Rangana S.. Handbook of analysis and quality control for fruits and vegetable products. Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Co. Ltd. New Delhi. 1968. 21-24.

- Rizk, F.A., Shaheen, A.M., Singer, S.M. and Sawan, O.A. 2013. The productivity of potato plants affected by urea fertilizer as foliar spraying and humic acid added with irrigation water. *Middle East J. Agric. Res.* 2(2): 76-83.
- Singh, R., Srivastava, P. C. and Singh, V. 2007. Practical manual on soil and plant analysis. Department of soil science. College of agriculture., GBPUAT, Pantnagar. pp 21-25.
- Sriom, Singh, M. and Kumar, A. S. 2020. Response of nitrogen levels on yield and growth attributes of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). *International Journal of Chemical Studies.* 8(1): 599-601.
- Subbaiah, B. V. and Asija, G. L. 1956. A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soil. *Curr. Sci.* 25: 259.
- Sun, L., Gu, L., Peng, X., Liu, Y., Li, X. and Yan, X. 2012. Effects of nitrogen fertilizer application time on dry matter accumulation and yield of Chinese potato variety KX 13. *Potato Res.* 55: 303-313.